Seminário Internacional de VANT - 2010

SI VANT 2010

27 a 29 de outubro de 2010 São José dos Campos - SP





Organização Brasileira para o Desenvolvimento da Certificação Aeronáutica

Apoio













Patrocínio

























An Internationally Coordinated Endeavour



Federating
The
International
UAS
Community

UAS Brazil 2010 Conference Sao José dos Campos, Brazil – 28 Oct. 2010

LUAS: The European Approach Status March 2009 - For Reference

By Peter van Blyenburgh



WHAT ARE THE PROBLEMS?

Federating
The
International
UAS
Community

EUROPE

EUROPEAN LEVEL - 1/2

Light UAS (<150) are regulated by national aviation authorities

Europe: 27 countries Risk of 27 non-harmonized regulations

No standards
No airworthiness norm
No certification norms
No ATM regulations
Involved communities do not speak the same language

The Critical Issues:

- Sense & Avoid
- Spectrum & Bandwidth
- Insufficient R&D funding

Flight in non-segregated airspace is impossible

Result: The markets for the following 3 sectors cannot emerge:
- non-military government - scientific - commercial

March 27, 2009 Page: 2/23



ADDITIONAL PROBLEMS

Federating
The
International
UAS
Community

EUROPE

EUROPEAN LEVEL - 2/2

Insufficient political awareness at national & European Commission (EC) levels of:

- the current & future non-military UAS applications
- the cross-over potential of the relevant technologies

Insufficient coordination between EC Directorate Generals prior to the launch of UAS-related study contracts

No central repository of EC funded study results

Insufficient European academic R&D coordination

Insufficient importance accorded to UAS within the framework of SESAR

Insufficient contact between potential European national users groups

Insufficient active participation of EASA in EUROCAE WG73 (funding problem)

A clear European Vision at EC level is missing

March 27, 2009 Page: 3/23



EUROPE

NATIONAL LEVEL

Federating
The
International
UAS
Community

UAS-RELATED REGULATORY MATTERS

- National approaches with cooperation between:
 - Industry CAAs Gvmt Authorities Stakeholder Orgs Academia
- National efforts to concentrate on standards (functional requirements) for Light UAS (<150 kg) (non-military applications)
- National Visions to be harmonized at the earliest possible stage
- National Visions to be coordinated to form a European Vision on Light UAS
- Regulatory approach to light UAS should be coordinated at European level by national CAAs in coordination with EUROCAE WG73 with participation of EASA, Eurocontrol, Air Navigation Service Providers, and Industry

A common terminology in the national languages should be defined

March 27, 2009 Page: 4/23



EUROPE

EUROPEAN LEVEL - 1/2

Federating
The
International
UAS
Community

UAS-RELATED REGULATORY MATTERS

- European National Visions (all classes of UAS) should be harmonized to create a European Vision (in coordination with SESAR)
- UAS should be recognized as a <u>relevant</u> stakeholder within SESAR
- The role of European Defence Agency (EDA) should be clearly defined
- The European Vision (civil & military) should be coordinated with NATO
- European standards (functional requirements) to be consensually defined by EUROCAE WG73 with <u>active</u> participation of EASA, national CAAs & Air Navigation Service Providers, Industry & other Stakeholders
- A common terminology in English should be defined

Europe should actively contribute to creating a Trans-Atlantic UAS Vision

March 27, 2009 Page: 5/23



Federating
The
International
UAS
Community

EUROPE

EUROPEAN LEVEL - 2/2

INDUSTRIAL + R&D MATTERS

- Political awareness of unmanned systems, unmanned system-related technologies & their potential should be increased
- Unmanned system-related technologies (air, ground, naval, space)
 should be recognized as being of strategic importance for Europe
- Definition of requirements for R&D, studies, and technology demonstrations should be better coordinated amongst all EC DGs & EC agencies in order to avoid duplication
- Increased funding required for R&D, study contracts & tech. demos
- EASA should be given the financial means of their ambitions
- Importance of SMEs & SMIs should be recognized
- Access to EC study results should be improved
- Number of European technology demonstrations should be increased
- Public awareness of unmanned systems & their societal benefits should be improved

March 27, 2009 Page: 6/23



EUROPEAN STAKEHOLDERS

THE PAN
EUROPEAN
APPROACH

Civilian Orgs

- GD Enterprise
- GD TREN
- GD Research
- EASA
- EUROCONTROL
- JAA

Multi-Nat. Orgs

- AEA
- CANSO
- EARTO
- ERA
- EREA
- EUGIN
- Eurocockpit Assn
- Euro Air Sport Assn
- IATA
- IFALPA
- IFATCA
- IOPA
- SESAR ExecCom
- SESAR J.U.

Military Orgs

- EDA Euro. Defence Agency
- EMAAG EuroMilitary Aviation Authorities Group
- JMAG Joint Military Airworthiness Group
- NATO:
 - FINAS (USAR)
 - JCG UAV
 - JAPCC
 - NATMC
- OCCAR

National Orgs

- Min. of Transport
- Min. of Interior
- Min. of Defence
- Air Navigation
 Service Providers
- CAAs
- Model A/C Assns
- Technology Platforms
 - ASTRAEA, UK
 - NIMUP, Netherlands
 - Pégase, France
 - PLATINO, Spain

Prof. Orgs & WGs

- ASD
- EUROCAE WG73
- (Multi)-National WGs
 - UAV DACH
 - UAV Germany, DE
 - former Castor, Sweden
- National Assns:
 - AVBS, Czech Rep.
 - PEMA UAV, Portugal
 - UAVS, UK
 - UAS Norway
 - UVS France
 - Ass. of Aerospace Universities, UK

Existing Multi-National Tech. Platforms

- ACARE
- ERTRAC
- EUROP

Industry

20 out of 27 Countries

Research

- Competence poles & Centres of excellence
- Academia

Marc SESAR J.



ACADEMIC

NATIONAL:

- Belgium
- Bulgaria
- Czech Rep.
- France
- Germany
- Greece
- Italy
- Netherlands
- Poland
- Portugal
- Romania
- Slovenia
- Spain
- Sweden
- Switzerland
- UK

MULTI-NATIONAL

EUROPEAN INSTITUTIONAL UAS-RELATED ACTIVITIES

NATIONAL

Austria **CAA & Min. of Interior Czech Rep Min. of Transport & CAA** - FDF & CAA Finland

- Artic Test Range - ŬSAR-FW - DGA-CEV

- USAR-VTOL

- DGA (MoD) UAV-REG - DGAC (CAA) NAVDROC - Min. of Interior

- Pégase

France

UK

- BWB-WTD - DFS Germany

- Min. of Transport

- UAV DACH

- UAV Germany NTP

- DGAA & ENAC Italy

Netherlds - CAA - NIMUP NTP

- RNLAF

Norway **UAS Norway** Uni. Of Ljubljana PLATINO NT Slovenia Spain NTP

Sweden - FMV & CAA UAV Vision

- Saab & LFV Castor

Switzerl. - CAA & Swiss AF

- Min. of Interior

- ASTRAEA NTP

> **CAP722** - CAA-DAP

- DoT & NATS

- Min. of Interior

- MoD-DPA

- ParcAberporth

- RAF UAV Battle Lab

- UAVS Association

MULTI-NATIONAL

ASD ASG - UAV WG

CANSO **UAV WG**

EARTO

EASA A.NPA

EC-DGTREN INOUI Programme

EC-DGEnter Market Study

ECAP Certification Group EDA - C&C + S&A studies

> - Staff rqmt study - UAS Roadmap

EMAAG

EUROCAE WG-73 on UAS

Eurocontrol - ANT

- UAV-OAT TF

Eurocontrol Experimental Centre

ICAO Formal WG on UAS

IWGSUAS

JAA/Eurcontrol UAV TF

JMAG (now includes ETAP)

NATO - AG7 FINAS

- FINAS-USAR-ST

- JAPCC

- NATMC - RTO

SESAR

USEP Security & Environmt

NTP = National Techn. Platform

March 27, 2009 Page: 8/23



EUROPE

INTERNATIONAL LEVEL

Federating
The
International
UAS
Community

UAS-RELATED REGULATORY MATTERS

- Global approaches to be harmonized at earliest possible stage
- Approaches on both sides of the Atlantic should be coordinated
- Coordination between Eurocontrol + EASA & FAA
- Coordination between EUROCAE & RTCA & SAE & ASTM etc
- Coordination between SESAR & NextGen
- Efforts to be coordinated internationally (beyond Europe & USA) with: Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, India, Japan, N.Zealand, Russia, Singapore, South Africa, South Korea, UAE
- Standards (functional requirements) to be agreed on at ICAO level
- A common terminology in English should be defined

Europe & USA should be the dynamo to create a Global Vision

March 27, 2009 Page: 9/23



Current Status Coordination & Cooperation

Federating
The
International
UAS
Community

- Eurocontrol & FAA are playing dynamically federating & leading roles
- ♦ UAS standards work in EUROCAE WG73 & RTCA SC203 is progressing
- ♦ EUROCAE & RTCA are endeavouring to coordinate their UAS activities
- Global Access Initiative

- ♦ Interim Working Group on LUAS
- ♦ International Coordination Council
 ♦ International Test Range Directory
- National CAAs have formed JARUS to coordinate & harmonize their activities re: Light UAS
- ◆ ICAO has started up an official UAS Study Group with the participation of 14 States (CAA: Australia, Austria, Canada, Czech Rep., France, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Russian Fed., Singapore, South Africa, Sweden, UK, USA) & 8 international organizations (CANSO, Eurocae, Eurocontrol, IAOPA, ICCAIA, IFALPA, IFATCA, UVS International)

Conclusion: A coordinated international approach is becoming reality

March 27, 2009 Page: 10/23



Interim WG on Light UAS

Instigated by UVS International

THE PAN
EUROPEAN
APPROACH

22 Participants

- Austrocontrol, Austria
- CAA, Belgium
- CGArm, France
- EADS DS, France
- Gates Technology, France
- Honeywell, Czech Rep.
- IVW (CAA), Netherlands
- Nimbus, Italy
- SurveyCopter, France
- University of Ljubljana, Slovenia
- West Wales UAV Centre, UK

- Barnard Microsystems, UK
- CAA, UK
- CybAero, Sweden
- European Air Sports Assn.
- Ghent University, Belgium
- HighEye, Netherlands
- LFV (CAA), Sweden
- Novadem, France
- UAS Consulting & Services, France
- UVS International
- Eurocontrol

2 Observers

- FAA, USA

- RTCA SC203, USA

4 One day meetings

Start: Sept 2007

End: Jan 2008

March 27, 2009 Page: 11/23



Objectives



Undertake initial work for EUROCAE WG73 in order to make it possible to effectively tackle the elaboration of proposed standards for Light UAS (<150kg).

Prepare a guidance document for EUROCAE WG73 on how to accomplish the aforementioned taking into account the financial & time & personnel limitations of the small and medium-sized industry & enterprises (SMI & SME) that constitute the backbone of the small UAS community.

- Review the Joint JAA/Eurocontrol UAS Task Force Final Report;
- Identify & group the currently existing regulatory documents;
- Produce a list of required Terms & Definitions;
- Identify the regulatory work re SUAS currently ongoing in Europe;
- Describe the current European market situation re SUAS for all applications;
- Identify the relevant European stakeholders;
- Propose a basic document describing the best way forward;
- Propose an initial work plan.

IWGLUAS ceased to exist after it delivered its recommendations in Feb 2008 and

IWGLUAS participants



WG73 SG4 LUAS participants

March 27, 2009 Page: 12/23



IWGLUAS CONCLUSIONS

THE PAN
EUROPEAN
APPROACH

LIGHT UAS

Should be the Nr 1 Priority

They are here NOW

- **♦ INDUSTRY (Products & Services) = PRINCIPALLY SMIs & SMEs**
- ◆ Traditionally not recognized UAS stakeholders (commercial RC & model aircraft communities) should be involved
- ♦ European CAAs should agree on a common approach (JARUS)
- ♦ National approaches with co-operation between:
 - Industry (producers & services) CAAs Air Nav. Service Suppliers
 - Government Authorities Academia Stakeholder Organizations
- ♦ National associations & Working Groups & Centres of Competence to be used to organize national inputs
- ♦ EUROCAE should federate players around a common approach (SG4)
- ♦ A common terminology in English should be defined
- **♦** Minimize travel & out-of-country meetings

March 27, 2009 Page: 13/23



IWGLUAS OUTPUTS



LIGHT UAS in EUROPE

- Matrix regarding European rules & regulations for model aircraft
- ♦ Review of the Joint JAA/Eurocontrol UAS TF Final report
- ♦ On-line library of regulatory docs = www.uvs-info.com
- **♦** List of current & potential applications
- Identification of the European stakeholders:
 - Industry (products & services) CAAs Air Nav. Service Suppliers
 - Government Authorities Academia Stakeholder Organizations
- List of agreed Terms & Definitions
- Light UAS segmentation, phased approach and definition of the initial work to be undertaken

♦ Proposal to use a "sanitized" version of UK CAA's CAP722 as the baseline document around which to bring the European CAAs together with the intent to start work towards a harmonized approach.

March 27, 2009 Page: 14/23



USEP Study

Security & Environmental UAS Applications



Light

Detailed generic application scenarios defined in discussions with potential EU non-military government users

Governmental non-military applications		
- Customs Authorities	4	0
- Police Authorities	18	9
- Civil Security	9	3
- Environmental	9	7
- Flight Services	7	4
(supplied by civil flight service providing	companies)	
Total of Scenarios	47	23

For USEP report & scenarios see: 2007 UAS Yearbook & www.uvs-info.com

March 27, 2009 Page: 15/23



Potential Governmental Non-Military UAS Applications Identified by USEP

Customs Authorities

Coastal patrol
On-shore border patrol
EU maritime surveillance
EU on-shore border patrol

Civil Security

Avalanche survivor search Coastal water surveillance Maritime search & rescue EU Civil Security
Maritime surveillance

Regional Fire Brigade Forest fire surveillance

National Fire Brigade
Forest fire surveillance

Natural disaster monitoring

Civil Security & National Police

Contamination measurement
Systematic search ops
Natural disaster monitoring
Emergency medical/food supply

Police Authorities

Information gathering (in buildings) Special ops, anti-terrorist **Urban law enforcement** Pre-intervention info gathering Urban riot control Perimeter defence Hostile protest control **Criminal investigation (several days)** Surveillance of public gatherings Road traffic surveillance Delivery of non-lethal disabling means **Coastal border immigration control** Ship lane surveillance Permanent police surveillance Land border immigration control **Maritime immigration control**

Environmental

Local science missions
Atmospheric measurements
Wild game surveillance
Fishery control
Ozone measurements
Weather assessment
Crop monitoring
Sandbank shift measurement
Glacier & ice cap monitoring

Contractor Supplied Flight Services

Training
Terrain mapping
Aerial photography
Monument inspection
Network comms relay
(small theatre)
Network comms relay
(large theatre)
Emergency comms
network

The seeds of a totally new service industry?

March 27, 2009 Page: 16/23

EU land border immigration control



EUROCAE WG73 SG4 on LUAS

THE PAN
EUROPEAN
APPROACH

ICAO EUROCAE EUROCAE WG73 SG1 - OPS & S&A EASA SG2 - Airworthiness SG3 - C&C, Comms **Eurocontrol** & Spectrum SG4 - Light UAS **FAA JARUS** ICC **FAA ARC Coordinator:** Chair: CAA/NL 🝪 🛟 RTCA SC203 UVSI Australia **Austria UVS International**

Belgium

S. Africa

Netherlands Norway

France

Italy

UK

Czech Rep.

Germany Malta

Spain

SG4 Chair: CAA/NL

Individual Companies & Organizations

European Air Sports Korea UVS, S. Korea PLATINO, Spain RCAPA, USA UAS Norway, Norway UATAR, Australia UAV-DACH, Germany UAVS, UK UVS Canada, Canada UVS France, France UVS International

IWGLUAS

Coordinator: UVSI 😂 🛟

= WG73 Management Team

= ICAO UAS SG Participants



EUROCAE WG73 SG4 on LUAS

UAS Segmentation

Non-Recreational

THE PAN
EUROPEAN
APPROACH

LIGHT UAS

Regulated by National CAA

Class I

Micro	MTOM <1,5 kg			
Grp B	MTOM >1,5 kg & <7 kg	Flight Alt.	< 500 m	Flight
	MTOM > 7 kg & <20/25 kg	< 150 m	from	In
	MTOM >20/25 kg & < 150 kg	AGL	pilot	Visual LOS

Class II

Micro	MTOM <1,5 kg			
Grp B	MTOM >1,5 kg & <7 kg	Flight Alt.	> 500 m	Flight
	MTOM > 7 kg & <20/25 kg	> 150m	from	Beyond
	MTOM >20/25 kg & < 150 kg	AGL	pilot	Visual LOS

UAS

National Operating Rules & EASA Certification

MTOM > 150 kg	Flight Alt.	> 500 m	Flight
	> 150 AGL	from pilot	Beyond Visual LOS



EUROCAE WG73 SG4 on LUAS

UAS Segmentation

Non-Recreational

THE PAN
EUROPEAN
APPROACH

Light UAS

MTOM <150 kg

Regulated by National CAA

Class I All Groups

- Visual LOS flight altitude control
- Visual LOS flight path control
- Outside of ATC
- Flight altitude: < 150m/400 ft AGL
- Max. flight dist.: < 500m from pilot
- Confined airspace
- Daytime VMC (visual meteorological conditions)

Topics to be dealt with

Operational approval including:

- Proof of safe flight
- Approved documentation
- Licensing & Training
- Limitations, etc
- Occurrence reporting mandatory

Class II All Groups

Flight beyond visual LOS and

Coordination with ATC

<u>and</u>

Rules of the Air apply

<u>and</u>

Which do not fall under Light UAS Class I definition

- Full set of regulations
- AOC Aircraft Operators Certificate
- Registration
- Certification of Airworthiness
- Licensed pilot
- Type certification
- Maintenance Part 66 & 145
- Approval of Design Org, & Production Org, or demonstration of capability

March 27, 2009 Page: 19/23



Light UAS Class I = The Priority

THE PAN
EUROPEAN
APPROACH

Light UAS Class I

MTOM < 150 kg

- Visual LOS flight altitude control
- Visual LOS flight path control
- Outside of ATC (air traffic control)
- Flight altitude: < 150m/400 ft AGL
- Max. flight dist.: < 500m from pilot
- Confined airspace
- Daytime VMC (visual meteorological conditions)

Topics to be dealt with

Operational approval including:

- Proof of safe flight
- Approved documentation
- Licensing &Training
- Limitations, etc
- Occurrence reporting mandatory

Micro	MTOM <1,5 kg	Flight In Visual LOS	
<u>-</u>	MTOM >1,5 kg & <7 kg MTOM > 7 kg & <20/25 kg MTOM >20/25 kg & < 150 kg	Flight Altitude < 500 m < 150 m Above from pilot Ground Level	

Light UAS Class I offers significant international market potential

Minimal impact on Air Traffic Management (ATM)

Will permit confidence building with regulatory authorities

March 27, 2009 Page: 20/23



First SG4 Deliverable

THE PAN
EUROPEAN
APPROACH

OBJECTIVE

Create a baseline guidance document around which to bring the European CAAs together & propose a harmonized approach to the operation of LUAS in Europe.

A "sanitized" version of the latest update of UK CAA's CAP722 has been reviewed and commented on by the ICC participants.

Consolidation & review of the received comments is currently taking place.

The consolidated document will be reviewed by the members of JARUS & EUROCAE WG73 SG4 on Light UAS and the final guidance document will be produced.

The final guidance document will be submitted to EUROCAE WG73.

After approval by the EUROCAE Council, the final guidance document will be made available to all European and non-European CAAs, as well as all other interested parties.

March 27, 2009 Page: 21/23



CONCLUSIONS

Federating
The
International
UAS
Community

UAS access to non-segregated airspace is a global issue.

Significant national & international efforts underway.

No single country can come up with the "global" solution.

International coordination & cooperation is crucial.

Experience, study results & information should be shared.

National Visions

European UAS Vision

North American Vision

Global
UAS Vision

ALL international stakeholders should be involved.

Europe will play an ACTIVE international role.

Light UAS should be dealt with on a PRIORITY basis.

Obtaining High Level Political Support Is now imperative.

RA

Ind

Mil

Gvt

Sec

Uni

Sci

March 27, 2009 Page: 22/23

UVS INTERNATIONAL

86 rue Michel-Ange 75016 Paris, France

Tel.: 33-1-46.51.88.65 Fax: 33-1-46.51.05.22

info@uvs-international.org info@uvs-info.com

www.uvs-international.org www.uvs-info.com

Federating, Instigating, Coordinating, Cooperating,
Promoting, Disseminating Information for the Benefit of the
International Unmanned Systems Community